

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/955,877	SHALABY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Patricia A Patten	1654	

All Participants:

(1) Patricia A Patten.

(2) Atty. Rochelle K. Seide.
Status of Application: Allowance

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 April 2004
Time: approx. 3:30pm EST
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:

none


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed changing minor informalities in order to place the claims in condition for allowance. Further discussed the submission of the IDS on 1/26/04 and that this IDS did not contain any copies of the non-patented literature documents and therefore the IDS will only be considered in part, that is, only the US patented documents will be considered. .